

Practical theory for calculating the microwave instability threshold in electron storage rings



Ryan Lindberg

Physicist in the Accelerator Operations and Physics Group Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Lab

Workshop on NOnlinear and COllective Effects NOCE 2017, Arcidosso Italy September 19-22, 2017

Acknowledgments

- Coworkers at the APS
 - M. Borland
 - L. Emery
 - V. Sajaev
- Graduate student at IIT
 - M. Sangroula (IIT)
- Colleagues at NSLS-II
 - A. Blednykh
 - G. Bassi



Outline

- My perspective and motivation
- Theoretical approach
- Determining the instability threshold from the stable solutions
- Solution technique: example using the impedance of steady-state coherent synchrotron radiation
- Comparing theory and simulations for a broad-band resonator impedance
- Application to predicting longitudinal collective effects at the Advanced Photon Source (APS)
 - Longitudinal impedance model for the APS
 - Theoretical predictions for the microwave instability threshold
 - Comparisons of theory, simulations, and measurements for collective dynamics near the microwave instability threshold
 - Comparing the bunch lengthening and energy spread increase for currents at and above the microwave instability threshold
- Conclusions and possible extensions



- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion

- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. **36**, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. Labll/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).



- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion
- Theory of the microwave instability as a consequence of mode-coupling
 - Pioneering work done by Sacherer [4,5]
 - Matrix-type solutions developed by a number of authors, e.g, [6-8]
 - Eigenvalue formulation given by Laclare [9]

- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. **36**, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. Labli/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).
- [4] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 20, 825 (1973).
- [5] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977).
- [6] T. Suzuki, Y. Chin, and K. Satoh. Particle Accel. **13**, 179 (1983).



- [7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya. KEK Rep. 90-10 (1990).
- [8] Y. Cai. Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams 14, 061002 (2011).
- [9] J.L. Laclare. CERN accelerator school pp 264 (1985).

- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion
- Theory of the microwave instability as a consequence of mode-coupling
 - Pioneering work done by Sacherer [4,5]
 - Matrix-type solutions developed by a number of authors, e.g, [6-8]
 - Eigenvalue formulation given by Laclare [9]
- Integral equation theory of Pelligrini, Wang, and Krinsky [10-11]
 - Provided theoretical basis for the Boussard criterion
 - Generally intractable, with no obvious approximation beyond Boussard criterion

- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. **36**, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. LabII/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).
- [4] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 20, 825 (1973);
- [5] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977).
- [6] T. Suzuki, Y. Chin, and K. Satoh. Particle Accel. 13, 179 (1983).



- [7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya. KEK Rep. 90-10 (1990).
- [8] Y. Cai. Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams **14**, 061002 (2011).
- [9] J.L. Laclare. CERN accelerator school pp 264 (1985).
- [10] J.M. Wang and C. Pelligrini. BNL-28O34 (1980).
- [11] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. Particle Accel. 17, 108 (1985).

- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion
- Theory of the microwave instability as a consequence of mode-coupling
 - Pioneering work done by Sacherer [4,5]
 - Matrix-type solutions developed by a number of authors, e.g, [6-8]
 - Eigenvalue formulation given by Laclare [9]
- Integral equation theory of Pelligrini, Wang, and Krinsky [10-11]
 - Provided theoretical basis for the Boussard criterion
 - Generally intractable, with no obvious approximation beyond Boussard criterion
- Vlasov-Fokker Planck solvers [12] and/or tracking
- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. **36**, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. LabII/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).
- [4] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 20, 825 (1973);
- [5] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977).
- [6] T. Suzuki, Y. Chin, and K. Satoh. Particle Accel. **13**, 179 (1983).



- [7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya. KEK Rep. 90-10 (1990).
- [8] Y. Cai. Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams 14, 061002 (2011).
- [9] J.L. Laclare. CERN accelerator school pp 264 (1985).
- [10] J.M. Wang and C. Pelligrini. BNL-28O34 (1980).
- [11] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. Particle Accel. 17, 108 (1985).
- [12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).

- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion

Easy to calculate, BUT typically underestimates instability threshold current by factor of 3 or more

- Theory of the microwave instability as a consequence of mode-coupling
 - Pioneering work done by Sacherer [4,5]
 - Matrix-type solutions developed by a number of authors, e.g, [6-8]
 - Eigenvalue formulation given by Laclare [9]
- Integral equation theory of Pelligrini, Wang, and Krinsky [10-11]
 - Provided theoretical basis for the Boussard criterion
 - Generally intractable, with no obvious approximation beyond Boussard criterion
- Vlasov-Fokker Planck solvers [12] and/or tracking
- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. **36**, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. Labll/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).
- [4] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **20**, 825 (1973);
- [5] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977).
- [6] T. Suzuki, Y. Chin, and K. Satoh. Particle Accel. **13**, 179 (1983).



- [7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya. KEK Rep. 90-10 (1990).
- [8] Y. Cai. Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams **14**, 061002 (2011).
- [9] J.L. Laclare. CERN accelerator school pp 264 (1985).
- [10] J.M. Wang and C. Pelligrini. BNL-28O34 (1980).
- [11] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. Particle Accel. 17, 108 (1985).
- [12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).

- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion

Easy to calculate, BUT typically underestimates instability threshold current by factor of 3 or more

- Theory of the microwave instability as a consequence of mode-coupling
 - Pioneering work done by Sacherer [4,5]
 - Matrix-type solutions developed by a number of authors, e.g, [6-8]
 - Eigenvalue formulation given by Laclare [9]
- Integral equation theory of Pelligrini, Wang, and Krinsky [10-11]
 - Provided theoretical basis for the Boussard criterion
 - Generally intractable, with no obvious approximation beyond Boussard criterion
- Vlasov-Fokker Planck solvers [12] and/or tracking
- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. **36**, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. Labli/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).
- [4] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 20, 825 (1973);
- [5] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977).
- [6] T. Suzuki, Y. Chin, and K. Satoh. Particle Accel. **13**, 179 (1983).



Matrix calculations are well-suited to low intensites, but can become unwieldy at high currents near threshold

- [7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya. KEK Rep. 90-10 (1990).
- [8] Y. Cai. Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams **14**, 061002 (2011).
- [9] J.L. Laclare. CERN accelerator school pp 264 (1985).
- [10] J.M. Wang and C. Pelligrini. BNL-28O34 (1980).
- [11] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. Particle Accel. **17**, 108 (1985).
- [12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).

- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion

Easy to calculate, BUT typically underestimates instability threshold current by factor of 3 or more

- Theory of the microwave instability as a consequence of mode-coupling
 - Pioneering work done by Sacherer [4,5]
 - Matrix-type solutions developed by a number of authors, e.g, [6-8]
 - Eigenvalue formulation given by Laclare [9]
- Integral equation theory of Pelligrini, Wang, and Krinsky [10-11]
 - Provided theoretical basis for the Boussard criterion
 - Generally intractable, with no obvious approximation beyond Boussard criterion
- Vlasov-Fokker Planck solvers [12] and/or tracking
- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. **36**, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. Labli/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).
- [4] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 20, 825 (1973);
- [5] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977).
- [6] T. Suzuki, Y. Chin, and K. Satoh. Particle Accel. **13**, 179 (1983).



Matrix calculations are well-suited to low intensites, but can become unwieldy at high currents near threshold

- [7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya. KEK Rep. 90-10 (1990).
- [8] Y. Cai. Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams **14**, 061002 (2011).
- [9] J.L. Laclare. CERN accelerator school pp 264 (1985).
- [10] J.M. Wang and C. Pelligrini. BNL-28O34 (1980).
- [11] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. Particle Accel. 17, 108 (1985).
- [12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).

- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion

Easy to calculate, BUT typically underestimates instability threshold current by factor of 3 or more

- Theory of the microwave instability as a consequence of mode-coupling
 - Pioneering work done by Sacherer [4,5]
 - Matrix-type solutions developed by a number of authors, e.g, [6-8]
 - Eigenvalue formulation given by Laclare [9]
- Integral equation theory of Pelligrini, Wang, and Krinsky [10-11]
 - Provided theoretical basis for the Boussard criterion
 - Generally intractable, with no obvious approximation beyond Boussard criterion
- Vlasov-Fokker Planck solvers [12] and/or tracking

Can be computationally expensive

- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. **36**, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. Labli/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).
- [4] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 20, 825 (1973);
- [5] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977).
- [6] T. Suzuki, Y. Chin, and K. Satoh. Particle Accel. **13**, 179 (1983).



- [7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya. KEK Rep. 90-10 (1990).
- [8] Y. Cai. Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams **14**, 061002 (2011).
- [9] J.L. Laclare. CERN accelerator school pp 264 (1985).
- [10] J.M. Wang and C. Pelligrini. BNL-28O34 (1980).
- [11] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. Particle Accel. 17, 108 (1985).
- [12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).

Matrix calculations are well-suited to low intensites, but can become unwieldy at high currents near threshold

- The longitudinal microwave instability in storage rings has a long history
 - High-frequency perturbation that increases energy spread above threshold current
 - Theory for a coasting beam developed in the late 1960's [1,2]: Keil-Schnell criterion
 - Coasting beam theory adapted to bunched beams in 1975 [3]: Boussard criterion
 - Easy to calculate, BUT typically underestimates instability threshold current by factor of 3 or more
- Theory of the microwave instability as a consequence of mode-coupling
 - Pioneering work done by Sacherer [4,5]
 - Matrix-type solutions developed by a number of authors, e.g, [6-8]
 - Eigenvalue formulation given by Laclare [9]
- Integral equation theory of Pelligrini, Wang, and Krinsky [10-11]
 - Provided theoretical basis for the Boussard criterion
 - Generally intractable, with no obvious approximation beyond Boussard criterion
- Vlasov-Fokker Planck solvers [12] and/or tracking
 Can be computationally expensive
- [1] V.K. Neil and A. M. Sessler. Rev. Sci.Instrum. 36, 429 (1965).
- [2] E. Keil and W. Schnell. CERN/SPS Rep. ISR/TH/RF/69-48 (1969).
- [3] D. Boussard. CERN Rep. Labll/RF/INT/75-2 (1975).
- [4] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **20**, 825 (1973);
- [5] F. Sacherer. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977).
- [6] T. Suzuki, Y. Chin, and K. Satoh. Particle Accel. **13**, 179 (1983).



Matrix calculations are well-suited to low intensites, but can become unwieldy at high currents near threshold

Basic Goal/Hope:

- Combine Pelligrini-Wang-Krinsky analysis with mode-coupling intuition to obtain theory that
 - 1. Is relatively easy to solve
 - 2. Is more accurate than the Boussard theory
 - 3. Provides some additional physical insight to MWI
- [7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya. KEK Rep. 90-10 (1990).
- [8] Y. Cai. Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams **14**, 061002 (2011).
- [9] J.L. Laclare. CERN accelerator school pp 264 (1985).
- [10] J.M. Wang and C. Pelligrini. BNL-28O34 (1980).
- [11] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. Particle Accel. **17**, 108 (1985).
- [12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. PRST-AB **13**, 104402 (2010).

• Using phase space coordinates $(z, p_z) = (s - ct, -\delta)$, the Vlasov equation is

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}\right]F(z, p_z; s) = 0$$



• Using phase space coordinates $(z, p_z) = (s - ct, -\delta)$, the Vlasov equation is

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}\right]F(z, p_z; s) = 0$$

• Change to action-angle variables of the static problem, $(z, p_z) \rightarrow (\Phi, I)$, and isolate the time-dependent perturbation due to the wakefields/impedance:

$$F(z, p_z; s) \to F(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = F_0(\mathcal{I}) + F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s)$$



• Using phase space coordinates $(z, p_z) = (s - ct, -\delta)$, the Vlasov equation is

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}\right]F(z, p_z; s) = 0$$

• Change to action-angle variables of the static problem, $(z, p_z) \rightarrow (\Phi, I)$, and isolate the time-dependent perturbation due to the wakefields/impedance:

$$F(z, p_z; s) \to F(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = F_0(\mathcal{I}) + F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s)$$

Linearize the Vlasov equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\right]F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = -\frac{2I}{\gamma I_A}\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\Phi}d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{I}}\int d\hat{\Phi}d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{I}}\int d\hat{\Phi}d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{I}}\int d\hat{\Phi}d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{\partial}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{\partial}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{\partial}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{\partial}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{\partial}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{\partial}{ikZ_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\int d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s)\int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]}\frac{\partial}{ikZ_0$$



• Using phase space coordinates $(z, p_z) = (s - ct, -\delta)$, the Vlasov equation is

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}\right]F(z, p_z; s) = 0$$

• Change to action-angle variables of the static problem, $(z, p_z) \rightarrow (\Phi, I)$, and isolate the time-dependent perturbation due to the wakefields/impedance:

$$F(z, p_z; s) \to F(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = F_0(\mathcal{I}) + F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s)$$

Linearize the Vlasov equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}}}_{\partial \Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\right] F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = -\frac{2I}{\gamma I_A} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{\mathcal{I}} \ F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s) \int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{\mathcal{I}} \ F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s) \int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{\mathcal{I}} \ F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s) \int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{\mathcal{I}} \ F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s) \int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial$$

Unperturbed motion: rf focusing, potential well distortion, ...



• Using phase space coordinates $(z, p_z) = (s - ct, -\delta)$, the Vlasov equation is

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}\right]F(z, p_z; s) = 0$$

• Change to action-angle variables of the static problem, $(z, p_z) \rightarrow (\Phi, I)$, and isolate the time-dependent perturbation due to the wakefields/impedance:

$$F(z, p_z; s) \to F(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = F_0(\mathcal{I}) + F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s)$$

Linearize the Vlasov equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}}}_{\partial \Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}\right] F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = -\underbrace{\frac{2I}{\gamma I_A}}_{\partial \mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{\mathcal{I}} \ F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s) \int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}$$

Unperturbed motion: rf focusing, potential well distortion, ... Ratio of average to Alfven current, I/I_A

Longitudinal impedance due to perturbation

Strength of collective effects



• Using phase space coordinates $(z, p_z) = (s - ct, -\delta)$, the Vlasov equation is

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}\right]F(z, p_z; s) = 0$$

• Change to action-angle variables of the static problem, $(z, p_z) \rightarrow (\Phi, I)$, and isolate the time-dependent perturbation due to the wakefields/impedance:

$$F(z, p_z; s) \to F(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = F_0(\mathcal{I}) + F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s)$$

Linearize the Vlasov equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \end{bmatrix} F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = -\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A} & \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \end{bmatrix} \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{\mathcal{I}} F_1(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}; s) \int dk \ e^{ik[z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) - z(\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\mathcal{I}})]} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0} \\ \text{Unperturbed motion:} \\ \text{rf focusing,} \\ \text{potential well distortion,} \\ \text{...} \\ \text{Strength of collective effects} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

 To make further analytic progress, we assume unperturbed motion can be approximated by simple harmonic motion (similar to [13] and [8]); then

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0}{\partial \mathcal{I}} = \frac{\omega_s}{c} = \frac{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta}{\sigma_z}, \quad z = \sigma_z \sqrt{\frac{2\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}} \cos \Phi, \quad F_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}}{2\pi \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle = \sigma_z \sigma_\delta$$

[13] S. Petracca, Th. Demma, and K. Hirata. "Gaussian approximation of the bunch lengthening in electron storage rings with a typical wake function." Phys. Rev. ST-Accel. Beams **8**, 074401 (2005).

[8] Y. Cai. "Linear theory of microwave instability in electron storage rings." Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 14, 061002 (2011).



Isolate time dependence for the linear P.D.E.

 $F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-i\Omega s/c}$

Exponential growth if $Im(\Omega) > 0$



Isolate time dependence for the linear P.D.E. and define bunching via

$$F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-i\Omega s/c}$$

Exponential growth if $Im(\Omega) > 0$

 $\mathcal{B}(k) = \int d\Phi d\mathcal{I} \ \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-ikz(\Phi, \mathcal{I})}$

Longitudinal bunching at frequency *ck*



Isolate time dependence for the linear P.D.E. and define bunching via

$$F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-i\Omega s/c}$$

Exponential growth if $Im(\Omega) > 0$

 $\mathcal{B}(k) = \int d\Phi d\mathcal{I} \ \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-ikz(\Phi, \mathcal{I})}$ Longitudinal bunching at frequency ck

Then, the Vlasov equation becomes

$$\left[-\frac{i\Omega}{c} + \frac{\omega_s}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\right]\tilde{F}_1(\Phi,\mathcal{I}) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A}\frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle}}{2\pi\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle^2}\int dk \;\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{kZ_0}\mathcal{B}(k)\sum_{n\neq 1}i^n nJ_n\left(k\sigma_z\sqrt{2I/\langle I\rangle}\right)e^{in\Phi}$$



Isolate time dependence for the linear P.D.E. and define bunching via

$$F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-i\Omega s/c}$$

Exponential growth if $Im(\Omega) > 0$

 $\mathcal{B}(k) = \int d\Phi d\mathcal{I} \ \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-ikz(\Phi, \mathcal{I})}$ Longitudinal bunching at frequency ck

Then, the Vlasov equation becomes

$$\left[-\frac{i\Omega}{c} + \frac{\omega_s}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\right]\tilde{F}_1(\Phi,\mathcal{I}) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A}\frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle}}{2\pi\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle^2}\int dk \;\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{kZ_0}\mathcal{B}(k)\sum_{n\neq 1}i^n nJ_n\left(k\sigma_z\sqrt{2I/\langle I\rangle}\right)e^{in\Phi}$$

Solve for \tilde{F}_1 by integrating over angle, then multiply by e^{-ikz} and integrate over phase space to get bunching equation

$$\mathcal{B}(k) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2} \int dk' \, \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k')}{ik' Z_0} \mathscr{M}(\sigma_z k, \sigma_z k'; \Omega) \mathcal{B}(k')$$
With symmetric kernel: $\mathscr{M}(x, y; \Omega) = e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/2} \left[e^{xy} - I_0(xy) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(\Omega/\omega_s)^2 I_n(xy)}{n^2 - (\Omega/\omega_s)^2} \right]$



Isolate time dependence for the linear P.D.E. and define bunching via

$$F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-i\Omega s/c}$$

Exponential growth if $Im(\Omega) > 0$

$$\mathcal{B}(k) = \int d\Phi d\mathcal{I} \; \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-ikz(\Phi, \mathcal{I})}$$

Longitudinal bunching at frequency *ck*

Then, the Vlasov equation becomes

$$\left[-\frac{i\Omega}{c} + \frac{\omega_s}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\right]\tilde{F}_1(\Phi,\mathcal{I}) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A}\frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle}}{2\pi\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle^2}\int dk \;\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{kZ_0}\mathcal{B}(k)\sum_{n\neq 1}i^n nJ_n\left(k\sigma_z\sqrt{2I/\langle I\rangle}\right)e^{in\Phi}$$

Solve for \tilde{F}_1 by integrating over angle, then multiply by e^{-ikz} and integrate over phase space to get bunching equation

$$\mathcal{B}(k) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2} \int dk' \, \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k')}{ik' Z_0} \mathscr{M}(\sigma_z k, \sigma_z k'; \Omega) \mathcal{B}(k')$$

With symmetric kernel: $\mathscr{M}(x, y; \Omega) = e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/2} \left[e^{xy} - I_0(xy) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(\Omega/\omega_s)^2 I_n(xy)}{n^2 - (\Omega/\omega_s)^2} \right]$

 Nice equation that is not really a solution: in general it is very difficult to disentangle the bunching mode profile from the complex frequency



Isolate time dependence for the linear P.D.E. and define bunching via

$$F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-i\Omega s/c}$$

Exponential growth if $Im(\Omega) > 0$

 $\mathcal{B}(k) = \int d\Phi d\mathcal{I} \ \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-ikz(\Phi, \mathcal{I})}$

Longitudinal bunching at frequency *ck*

Then, the Vlasov equation becomes

$$\left[-\frac{i\Omega}{c} + \frac{\omega_s}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\right]\tilde{F}_1(\Phi,\mathcal{I}) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A}\frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle}}{2\pi\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle^2}\int dk \;\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{kZ_0}\mathcal{B}(k)\sum_{n\neq 1}i^n nJ_n\left(k\sigma_z\sqrt{2I/\langle I\rangle}\right)e^{in\Phi}$$

Solve for F₁ by integrating over angle, then multiply by e^{-ikz} and integrate over phase space to get bunching equation

$$\mathcal{B}(k) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_{\delta}^2} \int dk' \; \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k')}{ik' Z_0} \mathscr{M}(\sigma_z k, \sigma_z k'; \Omega) \mathcal{B}(k')$$

With symmetric kernel: $\mathscr{M}(x, y; \Omega) = e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/2} \left[e^{xy} - I_0(xy) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(\Omega/\omega_s)^2 I_n(xy)}{n^2 - (\Omega/\omega_s)^2} \right]$

 Nice equation that is not really a solution: in general it is very difficult to disentangle the bunching mode profile from the complex frequency



Isolate time dependence for the linear P.D.E. and define bunching via

$$F_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-i\Omega s/c}$$

Exponential growth if $Im(\Omega) > 0$

$$\mathcal{B}(k) = \int d\Phi d\mathcal{I} \ \tilde{F}_1(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) e^{-ikz(\Phi, \mathcal{I})}$$

Longitudinal bunching at frequency ck

Then, the Vlasov equation becomes

$$\left[-\frac{i\Omega}{c} + \frac{\omega_s}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\right]\tilde{F}_1(\Phi,\mathcal{I}) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A}\frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle}}{2\pi\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle^2}\int dk \;\frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{kZ_0}\mathcal{B}(k)\sum_{n\neq 1}i^n nJ_n\left(k\sigma_z\sqrt{2I/\langle I\rangle}\right)e^{in\Phi}$$

Solve for F₁ by integrating over angle, then multiply by e^{-ikz} and integrate over phase space to get bunching equation

$$\mathcal{B}(k) = \frac{2I}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2} \int dk' \, \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k')}{ik' Z_0} \mathscr{M}(\sigma_z k, \sigma_z k'; \Omega) \mathcal{B}(k')$$
With symmetric kernel: $\mathscr{M}(x, y; \Omega) = e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/2} \left[e^{xy} - I_0(xy) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(\Omega/\omega_s)^2 I_n(xy)}{n^2 - (\Omega/\omega_s)^2} \right]$

- Nice equation that is not really a solution: in general it is very difficult to disentangle the bunching mode profile from the complex frequency
- Similar equation but without synchrotron motion derived in [10-11] and used to justify the Boussard criterion by evaluating $\Omega \rightarrow 0$ limit

[10] J. M. Wang and C. Pelligrini. "On the condition for a single bunch high frequency fast blow-up," BNL-28O34 (1980).
 [11] S. Krinsky and J. M. Wang. "Longitudinal instabilities of bunched beams subject to a non-harmonic rf potential," Particle Accel. 17, 108 (1985).



Mode coupling for the microwave instability

- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



Mode coupling for the microwave instability

- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions



Fig. 1 Coherent frequencies ω_{m} versus intensity

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



Mode coupling for the microwave instability

- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions



[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **24**, 393 (1977) [9] J. L. Laclare. "High current single bunch transverse instabilities at the ESRF: a new approach," CERN accelerator school, pp 264 (1985).



- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions
- We will apply this reasoning by considering stable oscillations with real frequency $\overline{\Omega}$.

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions
- We will apply this reasoning by considering stable oscillations with real frequency $\overline{\Omega}$.
- For real $\overline{\Omega}$, discretizing the integral equation gives an eigenvalue problem

 $M_{\parallel}b = \lambda b$

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions
- We will apply this reasoning by considering stable oscillations with real frequency $\overline{\Omega}$.
- For real $\overline{\Omega}$, discretizing the integral equation gives an eigenvalue problem

 $M_{\parallel}b = \lambda b$

Bunching eigenvector, $b_j = \mathcal{B}(k_j)$

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions
- We will apply this reasoning by considering stable oscillations with real frequency $\overline{\Omega}$.
- For real $\overline{\Omega}$, discretizing the integral equation gives an eigenvalue problem

```
Bunching eigenvector, b_j = \mathcal{B}(k_j) Eigenvalue \lambda = 1/I
M_{\parallel}b = \lambda b
```

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions
- We will apply this reasoning by considering stable oscillations with real frequency $\overline{\Omega}$.
- For real $\overline{\Omega}$, discretizing the integral equation gives an eigenvalue problem

Bunching eigenvector, $b_j = \mathcal{B}(k_j)$ Eigenvalue $\lambda = 1/I$ $M_{\parallel} b = \lambda b$ Collective interaction matrix : $(M_{\parallel})_{j,\ell} = \Delta k \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k_{\ell})}{ik_{\ell}Z_0} \frac{2\mathscr{M}(\sigma_z k_j, \sigma_z k_{\ell}; \bar{\Omega})}{\gamma \alpha_c \sigma_{\delta}^2 I_A}$

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions
- We will apply this reasoning by considering stable oscillations with real frequency $\overline{\Omega}$.
- For real $\overline{\Omega}$, discretizing the integral equation gives an eigenvalue problem

Bunching eigenvector, $b_j = \mathcal{B}(k_j)$ Eigenvalue $\lambda = 1/I$ $M_{\parallel} b = \lambda b$ Collective interaction matrix : $(M_{\parallel})_{j,\ell} = \Delta k \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k_{\ell})}{ik_{\ell}Z_0} \frac{2\mathscr{M}(\sigma_z k_j, \sigma_z k_{\ell}; \bar{\Omega})}{\gamma \alpha_c \sigma_{\delta}^2 I_A}$

 Easy to solve with standard packages: the largest real eigenvalue λ gives the current I for a given oscillation frequency Ω.

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



- Within Sacherer's formalism [5], the microwave instability can be understood in terms of classical mode coupling:
 - At zero current, perturbations oscillate at harmonics of the synchrotron frequency, so that $\Omega = n\omega_s$ for integer *n*.
 - As the current increases, the impedance shifts the oscillation frequencies
 - Instability occurs when two initially distinct modes become degenerate (merge/collide), leading to exponentially growing and damped solutions
- We will apply this reasoning by considering stable oscillations with real frequency $\overline{\Omega}$.
- For real $\overline{\Omega}$, discretizing the integral equation gives an eigenvalue problem

Bunching eigenvector,
$$b_j = \mathcal{B}(k_j)$$
 Eigenvalue $\lambda = 1/I$

$$M_{\parallel}b = \lambda b$$
Collective interaction matrix : $(M_{\parallel})_{j,\ell} = \Delta k \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k_{\ell})}{ik_{\ell}Z_0} \frac{2\mathscr{M}(\sigma_z k_j, \sigma_z k_{\ell}; \bar{\Omega})}{\gamma \alpha_c \sigma_{\delta}^2 I_A}$

- Easy to solve with standard packages: the largest real eigenvalue λ gives the current *I* for a given oscillation frequency $\overline{\Omega}$.
- One can use this to identify the lowest current at which stable oscillations cease to exist, which in turn gives the threshold current for mode coupling and the microwave instability.

[5] F. Sacherer. "Bunch lengthening and microwave instability," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 24, 393 (1977)



Example: steady-state CSR impedance

- CSR impedance for bending radius ρ is $Z_{\parallel}(k) = Z_0 \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\sqrt{3} + \operatorname{sgn}(k)i}{2} |k\rho|^{1/3}$
- Vlasov stability can be expressed in terms of a single dimensionless parameter, $\xi_{\rm CSR} \equiv \frac{I(\rho/\sigma_z)^{1/3}}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}$, and the microwave instability threshold [12] has $\xi_{\rm CSR}^{\rm thresh} \approx 0.5$

[12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. "Threshold studies of the microwave instability in electron storage rings" PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).


- CSR impedance for bending radius ρ is $Z_{\parallel}(k) = Z_0 \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\sqrt{3} + \operatorname{sgn}(k)i}{2} |k\rho|^{1/3}$
- Vlasov stability can be expressed in terms of a single dimensionless parameter, $\xi_{\rm CSR} \equiv \frac{I(\rho/\sigma_z)^{1/3}}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}$, and the microwave instability threshold [12] has $\xi_{\rm CSR}^{\rm thresh} \approx 0.5$
- Within the present theory, stable oscillations are given by solving

$$\mathbf{M}_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} = \lambda \mathbf{b} \quad \text{with } (\mathsf{M}_{\parallel})_{j,\ell} = \Delta x \frac{\xi_{\text{CSR}}}{I} \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(x_{\ell})\sqrt{3} + i}{i |x_{\ell}|^{2/3}} \mathscr{M}(x_{j}, x_{\ell}; \bar{\Omega}) \text{ and } \lambda = 1/I$$

[12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. "Threshold studies of the microwave instability in electron storage rings" PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).



- CSR impedance for bending radius ρ is $Z_{\parallel}(k) = Z_0 \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\sqrt{3} + \operatorname{sgn}(k)i}{2} |k\rho|^{1/3}$
- Vlasov stability can be expressed in terms of a single dimensionless parameter, $\xi_{\rm CSR} \equiv \frac{I(\rho/\sigma_z)^{1/3}}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}$, and the microwave instability threshold [12] has $\xi_{\rm CSR}^{\rm thresh} \approx 0.5$
- Within the present theory, stable oscillations are given by solving



[12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. "Threshold studies of the microwave instability in electron storage rings" PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).



- CSR impedance for bending radius ρ is $Z_{\parallel}(k) = Z_0 \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\sqrt{3} + \operatorname{sgn}(k)i}{2} |k\rho|^{1/3}$
- Vlasov stability can be expressed in terms of a single dimensionless parameter, $\xi_{\rm CSR} \equiv \frac{I(\rho/\sigma_z)^{1/3}}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}$, and the microwave instability threshold [12] has $\xi_{\rm CSR}^{\rm thresh} \approx 0.5$
- Within the present theory, stable oscillations are given by solving



[12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. "Threshold studies of the microwave instability in electron storage rings" PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).



- CSR impedance for bending radius ρ is $Z_{\parallel}(k) = Z_0 \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\sqrt{3} + \operatorname{sgn}(k)i}{2} |k\rho|^{1/3}$
- Vlasov stability can be expressed in terms of a single dimensionless parameter, $\xi_{\rm CSR} \equiv \frac{I(\rho/\sigma_z)^{1/3}}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}$, and the microwave instability threshold [12] has $\xi_{\rm CSR}^{\rm thresh} \approx 0.5$
- Within the present theory, stable oscillations are given by solving



[12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. "Threshold studies of the microwave instability in electron storage rings" PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).



- CSR impedance for bending radius ρ is $Z_{\parallel}(k) = Z_0 \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\sqrt{3} + \operatorname{sgn}(k)i}{2} |k\rho|^{1/3}$
- Vlasov stability can be expressed in terms of a single dimensionless parameter, $\xi_{\rm CSR} \equiv \frac{I(\rho/\sigma_z)^{1/3}}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}$, and the microwave instability threshold [12] has $\xi_{\rm CSR}^{\rm thresh} \approx 0.5$
- Within the present theory, stable oscillations are given by solving



[12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. "Threshold studies of the microwave instability in electron storage rings" PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).



- CSR impedance for bending radius ρ is $Z_{\parallel}(k) = Z_0 \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\sqrt{3} + \operatorname{sgn}(k)i}{2} |k\rho|^{1/3}$
- Vlasov stability can be expressed in terms of a single dimensionless parameter, $\xi_{\rm CSR} \equiv \frac{I(\rho/\sigma_z)^{1/3}}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}$, and the microwave instability threshold [12] has $\xi_{\rm CSR}^{\rm thresh} \approx 0.5$
- Within the present theory, stable oscillations are given by solving



[12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. "Threshold studies of the microwave instability in electron storage rings" PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).



- CSR impedance for bending radius ρ is $Z_{\parallel}(k) = Z_0 \frac{\Gamma(2/3)}{3^{1/3}} \frac{\sqrt{3} + \operatorname{sgn}(k)i}{2} |k\rho|^{1/3}$
- Vlasov stability can be expressed in terms of a single dimensionless parameter, $\xi_{\rm CSR} \equiv \frac{I(\rho/\sigma_z)^{1/3}}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}$, and the microwave instability threshold [12] has $\xi_{\rm CSR}^{\rm thresh} \approx 0.5$
- Within the present theory, stable oscillations are given by solving



[12] K.L.F. Bane, Y. Cai, and G. Stupakov. "Threshold studies of the microwave instability in electron storage rings" PRST-AB 13, 104402 (2010).
 [8] Y. Cai. "Linear theory of microwave instability in electron storage rings." Phys. Rev ST Accel. Beams 14, 061002 (2011)



• For the broad-band resonator impedance $Z_{\parallel}(k) = \frac{\omega_r R_s ck}{\omega_r ck + iQ \left[\omega_r^2 - (ck)^2\right]}$, Vlasov

stability is determined by three dimensionless parameters:

 $\label{eq:Frequency:} \text{Frequency:} \ \nu_r = \frac{\omega_r \sigma_z}{c}, \qquad \text{Strength:} \ \xi_{\text{BBR}} = \frac{4\pi \nu_r I R_s}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2 Z_0}, \qquad \text{Quality factor:} \ Q \to 1$



• For the broad-band resonator impedance $Z_{\parallel}(k) = \frac{\omega_r R_s ck}{\omega_r ck + iQ \left[\omega_r^2 - (ck)^2\right]}$, Vlasov

stability is determined by three dimensionless parameters:

Frequency : $\nu_r = \frac{\omega_r \sigma_z}{c}$, Strength : $\xi_{\text{BBR}} = \frac{4\pi \nu_r I R_s}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2 Z_0}$, Quality factor : $Q \to 1$

• We include potential-well distortion from equilibrium wakefields by using bunch length from the Haïssinski solution [14] for σ_z and renormalizing $\omega_s = \alpha_c \sigma_\delta / \sigma_z = \omega_{s,0} (\sigma_{z,0} / \sigma_z)$:

$$\int dp_z \ F_0(z, p_z) = \rho_0(z) = \rho(0) e^{-V_0(z)/\alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}, \quad V_0(z) = \frac{\omega_s^2}{2\alpha_c} z^2 - \frac{2I}{I_A} \int d\hat{z} dk \ \rho_0(\hat{z}) e^{ik(z-\hat{z})} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}$$

[14] J. Haïssinski, "Exact longitudinal equilibrium distribution of stored electrons in the presence of self-fields," Il Nuovo Cimento B 18, 72 (1973).



• For the broad-band resonator impedance $Z_{\parallel}(k) = \frac{\omega_r R_s ck}{\omega_r ck + iQ \left[\omega_r^2 - (ck)^2\right]}$, Vlasov

stability is determined by three dimensionless parameters:

 $\text{Frequency}: \ \nu_r = \frac{\omega_r \sigma_z}{c}, \qquad \text{Strength}: \ \xi_{\text{BBR}} = \frac{4\pi \nu_r I R_s}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2 Z_0}, \qquad \text{Quality factor}: \ Q \to 1$

• We include potential-well distortion from equilibrium wakefields by using bunch length from the Haïssinski solution [14] for σ_z and renormalizing $\omega_s = \alpha_c \sigma_\delta / \sigma_z = \omega_{s,0} (\sigma_{z,0} / \sigma_z)$:

$$\int dp_z \ F_0(z, p_z) = \rho_0(z) = \rho(0) e^{-V_0(z)/\alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}, \quad V_0(z) = \frac{\omega_s^2}{2\alpha_c} z^2 - \frac{2I}{I_A} \int d\hat{z} dk \ \rho_0(\hat{z}) e^{ik(z-\hat{z})} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}$$



[14] J. Haïssinski, "Exact longitudinal equilibrium distribution of stored electrons in the presence of self-fields," Il Nuovo Cimento B 18, 72 (1973).



• For the broad-band resonator impedance $Z_{\parallel}(k) = \frac{\omega_r R_s ck}{\omega_r ck + iQ \left[\omega_r^2 - (ck)^2\right]}$, Vlasov

stability is determined by three dimensionless parameters:

 $\text{Frequency}: \ \nu_r = \frac{\omega_r \sigma_z}{c}, \qquad \text{Strength}: \ \xi_{\text{BBR}} = \frac{4\pi \nu_r I R_s}{\gamma I_A \alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2 Z_0}, \qquad \text{Quality factor}: \ Q \to 1$

• We include potential-well distortion from equilibrium wakefields by using bunch length from the Haïssinski solution [14] for σ_z and renormalizing $\omega_s = \alpha_c \sigma_\delta / \sigma_z = \omega_{s,0} (\sigma_{z,0} / \sigma_z)$:

$$\int dp_z \ F_0(z, p_z) = \rho_0(z) = \rho(0) e^{-V_0(z)/\alpha_c \sigma_\delta^2}, \quad V_0(z) = \frac{\omega_s^2}{2\alpha_c} z^2 - \frac{2I}{I_A} \int d\hat{z} dk \ \rho_0(\hat{z}) e^{ik(z-\hat{z})} \frac{Z_{\parallel}(k)}{ikZ_0}$$



[14] J. Haïssinski, "Exact longitudinal equilibrium distribution of stored electrons in the presence of self-fields," Il Nuovo Cimento B 18, 72 (1973).



Predictions and measurements of longitudinal collective effects at the Advanced Photon Source (APS)



 Impedance model is an updated version of that developed at the APS by Y.-C. Chae [15]

[15] Y.-C. Chae and Y. Wang, "Impedance database II for the Advanced Photon Source storage ring," Proc. PAC 2007, pp. 4336.



- Impedance model is an updated version of that developed at the APS by Y.-C. Chae [15]
- Impedance contributions were identified and GdfidL [16] models were developed including
 - Five different bellows configurations (240 total in ring)
 - Flange gaps (480 total; 2 mm wide, 3 mm deep)
 - BPMs (360 in the arc, 76 in the narrow-gap IDs)
 - Transitions to/from IDs (34 total) and rf cavities (4)
 - Few striplines, flags, and scrapers

[15] Y.-C. Chae and Y. Wang, "Impedance database II for the Advanced Photon Source storage ring," Proc. PAC 2007, pp. 4336. [16] W. Bruns, The GdfidL electromagnetic solver. http://www.gdfidl.de



- Impedance model is an updated version of that developed at the APS by Y.-C. Chae [15]
- Impedance contributions were identified and GdfidL [16] models were developed including
 - Five different bellows configurations (240 total in ring)
 - Flange gaps (480 total; 2 mm wide, 3 mm deep)
 - BPMs (360 in the arc, 76 in the narrow-gap IDs)
 - Transitions to/from IDs (34 total) and rf cavities (4)
 - Few striplines, flags, and scrapers
- GdfidL wakefield solver uses $\sigma_b = 1$ mm bunch length
 - Ideally, the simulated impedance is the point-charge impedance filtered by a Gaussian frequency filter:

 $Z_{\rm sim}(\omega) = e^{-\sigma_b^2 \omega^2/2} Z_{\rm pt. \ charge}(\omega)$

- Resolves frequencies up to $f_{max} \sim c/(2\pi\sigma_b) \sim 50 \text{ GHz}$
- Mesh size chosen to resolve the geometry and f_{max}
- Simulations gives wakefield; take FFT for impedance

[15] Y.-C. Chae and Y. Wang, "Impedance database II for the Advanced Photon Source storage ring," Proc. PAC 2007, pp. 4336. [16] W. Bruns, The GdfidL electromagnetic solver. http://www.gdfidl.de



- Impedance model is an updated version of that developed at the APS by Y.-C. Chae [15]
- Impedance contributions were identified and GdfidL [16] models were developed including
 - Five different bellows configurations (240 total in ring)
 - Flange gaps (480 total; 2 mm wide, 3 mm deep)
 - BPMs (360 in the arc, 76 in the narrow-gap IDs)
 - Transitions to/from IDs (34 total) and rf cavities (4)
 - Few striplines, flags, and scrapers
- GdfidL wakefield solver uses $\sigma_b = 1$ mm bunch length
 - Ideally, the simulated impedance is the point-charge impedance filtered by a Gaussian frequency filter:

 $Z_{\rm sim}(\omega) = e^{-\sigma_b^2 \omega^2/2} Z_{\rm pt. charge}(\omega)$

- Resolves frequencies up to $f_{\text{max}} \sim c/(2\pi\sigma_{\text{b}}) \sim 50 \text{ GHz}$
- Mesh size chosen to resolve the geometry and f_{max}
- Simulations gives wakefield; take FFT for impedance
- Total impedance obtained by summing all elements

[15] Y.-C. Chae and Y. Wang, "Impedance database II for the Advanced Photon Source storage ring," Proc. PAC 2007, pp. 4336. [16] W. Bruns, The GdfidL electromagnetic solver. http://www.gdfidl.de





 Z_{\parallel} (k Ω)

- Impedance model is an updated version of that developed at the APS by Y.-C. Chae [15]
- Impedance contributions were identified and GdfidL [16] models were developed including
 - Five different bellows configurations (240 total in ring)
 - Flange gaps (480 total; 2 mm wide, 3 mm deep)
 - BPMs (360 in the arc, 76 in the narrow-gap IDs)
 - Transitions to/from IDs (34 total) and rf cavities (4)
 - Few striplines, flags, and scrapers
- GdfidL wakefield solver uses $\sigma_b = 1$ mm bunch length
 - Ideally, the simulated impedance is the point-charge impedance filtered by a Gaussian frequency filter:

$$Z_{\rm sim}(\omega) = e^{-\sigma_b^2 \omega^2/2} Z_{\rm pt. charge}(\omega)$$

- Resolves frequencies up to $f_{\rm max} \sim c/(2\pi\sigma_{\rm b}) \sim 50~{\rm GHz}$
- Mesh size chosen to resolve the geometry and f_{max}
- Simulations gives wakefield; take FFT for impedance
- Total impedance obtained by summing all elements



[15] Y.-C. Chae and Y. Wang, "Impedance database II for the Advanced Photon Source storage ring," Proc. PAC 2007, pp. 4336. [16] W. Bruns, The GdfidL electromagnetic solver. http://www.gdfidl.de

-2

-4

Λ

20

40

f (GHz)

60

80



100



• Simulated impedance is used in both the theory and elegant [17] tracking simulations





Other APS parameters for theory & tracking			
Parameter	Symbol	Value	
Beam energy	γmc^2	$7 {\rm GeV}$	
Momentum compaction	$lpha_c$	2.82×10^{-4}	
Zero-current energy spread	$\sigma_{\delta,0}$	0.096~%	
Rf voltage	$V_{ m rf}$	$9 \mathrm{MV}$	
Zero-current bunch length	$\sigma_{z,0}$	$6.10 \mathrm{~mm}$	
Ring circumference	C_R	$1104~\mathrm{m}$	
Zero-current synchrotron tune	$\omega_{s,0}/\omega_0$	0.00775	

• Simulated impedance is used in both the theory and elegant [17] tracking simulations





Other APS parameters for theory & tracking			
Parameter	Symbol	Value	
Beam energy	γmc^2	$7 { m GeV}$	
Momentum compaction	α_c	2.82×10^{-4}	
Zero-current energy spread	$\sigma_{\delta,0}$	0.096~%	
Rf voltage	$V_{ m rf}$	$9 \mathrm{MV}$	
Zero-current bunch length	$\sigma_{z,0}$	$6.10 \mathrm{~mm}$	
Ring circumference	C_R	1104 m	
Zero-current synchrotron tune	$\omega_{s,0}/\omega_0$	0.00775	

- Simulated impedance is used in both the theory and elegant [17] tracking simulations
- Elegant simulations include the following elements
 - 1. ILMATRIX: advances linear optics over one turn using tunes and momentum compaction
 - Also includes chromatic effects and lowest-order nonlinear terms in both the longitudinal and transverse dimensions
 - 2. RFCA: applies the full rf accelerating force once/turn
 - 3. SREFFECTS: applies damping and diffusion associated with synchrotron emission once/turn
 - 4. ZLONGIT: applies longitudinal impedance once/turn





Other APS parameters for theory & tracking			
Parameter	Symbol	Value	
Beam energy	γmc^2	$7 {\rm GeV}$	
Momentum compaction	α_c	2.82×10^{-4}	
Zero-current energy spread	$\sigma_{\delta,0}$	0.096~%	
Rf voltage	$V_{ m rf}$	$9 \mathrm{MV}$	
Zero-current bunch length	$\sigma_{z,0}$	$6.10 \mathrm{~mm}$	
Ring circumference	C_R	$1104~\mathrm{m}$	
Zero-current synchrotron tune	$\omega_{s,0}/\omega_0$	0.00775	

- Simulated impedance is used in both the theory and elegant [17] tracking simulations
- Elegant simulations include the following elements
 - 1. ILMATRIX: advances linear optics over one turn using tunes and momentum compaction
 - Also includes chromatic effects and lowest-order nonlinear terms in both the longitudinal and transverse dimensions
 - 2. RFCA: applies the full rf accelerating force once/turn
 - 3. SREFFECTS: applies damping and diffusion associated with synchrotron emission once/turn
 - 4. ZLONGIT: applies longitudinal impedance once/turn
- Tracked 50k 200k particles over 30k turns to determine equilibrium properties.













- Boussard criterion predicts $I_{MWI} = 1.8 \text{ mA}$
- Elegant [14] simulations predict APS instability threshold at $I_{MWI} = 5.9 \text{ mA}$





- Boussard criterion predicts $I_{MWI} = 1.8 \text{ mA}$
- Elegant [14] simulations predict APS instability threshold at $I_{MWI} = 5.9 \text{ mA}$
- Improve theory by using current-dependent bunch-length from Haïssinski equilibrium





- Boussard criterion predicts $I_{MWI} = 1.8 \text{ mA}$
- Elegant [14] simulations predict APS instability threshold at $I_{MWI} = 5.9 \text{ mA}$
- Improve theory by using current-dependent bunch-length from Haïssinski equilibrium
 - 1. Compute mode spectrum with new σ_z























Main oscillation: $\Omega \approx \omega_{s,0}$

Harmonics: $\Omega \approx 1.7\omega_{s,0}$ and $\Omega \approx 2.4\omega_{s,0}$



Ryan Lindberg – NOCE 2017 – September 20, 2017



Argon

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Main oscillation: $\Omega \approx \omega_{s,0}$

Harmonics: $\Omega \approx 1.7\omega_{s,0}$ and $\Omega \approx 2.4\omega_{s,0}$

Mode coupling and instability: $\Omega \approx 4.6 \omega_{\rm s,0}$

15



Argonne



16

Ryan Lindberg – NOCE 2017 – September 20, 2017

ATIONAL LABORATOR

Extension of theory to currents beyond the instability threshold

- Assume that beyond the instability threshold the energy spread increases so as to just quench the instability.
- Iterate between Haïssinski and mode-coupling theory to find self-consistent solution
 - Each iteration takes ~10 seconds
 - Calculation at any current takes a few minutes


Extension of theory to currents beyond the instability threshold

- Assume that beyond the instability threshold the energy spread increases so as to just quench the instability.
- Iterate between Haïssinski and mode-coupling theory to find self-consistent solution
 - Each iteration takes ~10 seconds
 - Calculation at any current takes a few minutes



Bunch length fit to experiment from Y.-C. Chae, L. Emery, A.H. Lumpkin, J. Song, and B.X. Yang, Proc. of PAC 2001, pp 1817.



Ryan Lindberg – NOCE 2017 – September 20, 2017

Extension of theory to currents beyond the instability threshold

- Assume that beyond the instability threshold the energy spread increases so as to just quench the instability.
- Iterate between Haïssinski and mode-coupling theory to find self-consistent solution



- Calculation at any current takes a few minutes

Each iteration takes ~10 seconds

Bunch length fit to experiment from Y.-C. Chae, L. Emery, A.H. Lumpkin, J. Song, and B.X. Yang, Proc. of PAC 2001, pp 1817. Energy spread measurements derived from beam size in region of high dispersion and made by L. Emery and V. Sajaev at the APS in August 2014.



Ryan Lindberg – NOCE 2017 – September 20, 2017

Conclusions & future directions

- We have developed a theoretical framework for the microwave instability that uses the mode-coupling interpretation to turn an integral equation into an eigenvalue problem
- The theory is fairly easy to solve numerically for an arbitrary impedance
- The microwave instability threshold is predicted to better than 15% for the steady-state CSR impedance, and over a wide range of broad-band resonator parameters
- The theory can be usefully applied at high intensity if one uses the Haïssinski equilibrium bunch length and an energy spread that is inflated to suppress instability
- We have found good agreement between theory, simulation, and measurements for current-dependent bunch lengthening and energy spread increase at the APS
- Extending the theory to proton machines should be easy
- Extending the theory to higher-harmonic rf systems can be done
 - Calculations will no longer be as "practical": each matrix element will involve a numerical integral
 - Nevertheless, the theory may provide some additional insights:
 - Mode merging phenomenon will be obscured by nonlinear potential
 - We expect that the real frequencies will map out line where the growth rate equals Landau damping rate
 - We expect synchrotron radiation damping to play a role as well



Ryan Lindberg – NOCE 2017 – September 20, 2017